

## High-Resolution Infrared Spectroscopy of the Brown Dwarf $\epsilon$ Indi Ba<sup>1, 2</sup>

Verne V. Smith<sup>3</sup>

Department of Physics, University of Texas El Paso, El Paso, TX 79968 USA;  
 verne@barium.physics.utep.edu

Takashi Tsuji

Institute of Astronomy, The University of Tokyo, Mitaka, JP Tokyo 181, Japan;  
 ttsuji@ioa.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Kenneth H. Hinkle

National Optical Astronomy Observatory<sup>4</sup>, P.O. Box 26732, Tucson, AZ 85726 USA;  
 khinkle@noao.edu

Katia Cunha<sup>3</sup>

Observatorio Nacional, Rua General Jose Cristino 77, Sao Cristovao, 20921-400 Rio de Janeiro,  
 Brazil; katia@on.br

Robert D. Blum

Cerro Tololo InterAmerican Observatory<sup>3</sup>, 950 N. Cherry St., Tucson, AZ 85719 USA;  
 rblum@ctio.noao.edu

Jeff A. Valenti

Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Dr., Baltimore, MD 21218 USA;  
 valenti@stsci.edu

Stephen T. Ridgway, Richard R. Joyce

National Optical Astronomy Observatory<sup>4</sup>, P. O. Box 26732, Tucson, AZ 85726 USA;  
 sridgway@noao.edu, rjoyce@noao.edu

Peter Bernath

<sup>1</sup>Based on observations obtained at the Gemini Observatory, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under a cooperative agreement with the NSF on behalf of the Gemini partnership: the National Science Foundation (United States), the Particle Physics and Astronomy Research Council (United Kingdom), the National Research Council (Canada), CONICYT (Chile), the Australian Research Council (Australia), CNPq (Brazil), and CONICRT (Argentina).

<sup>2</sup>Based on observations obtained with the Phoenix infrared spectrograph, developed and operated by the National Optical Astronomy Observatory.

<sup>3</sup>Visiting Astronomer, Gemini South Observatory

<sup>4</sup>Operated by Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation

Department of Chemistry, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1, Canada;  
bernath@uwaterloo.ca

Submitted to the Astrophysical Journal Letters

## ABSTRACT

We report on the analysis of high-resolution infrared spectra of the newly discovered brown dwarf  $\epsilon$  Indi B. This is the closest known brown dwarf to the solar system, with a distance of 3.626 pc. Spectra covering the ranges of  $\lambda$ 2.308–2.317 $\mu$ m and  $\lambda$ 1.553–1.559 $\mu$ m were observed at a resolution of  $\lambda/\Delta\lambda=R=50,000$ . The physical parameters of effective temperature and surface gravity are derived for  $\epsilon$  Ind Ba by comparison with model spectra calculated from atmospheres computed using unified cloudy models. The results are  $T_{\text{eff}}=1500\pm100$ K,  $\log g=5.2\pm0.3$  (in units of cm s<sup>-2</sup>), placing it in the critical boundary between the late-L and early-T dwarfs. The high spectral resolution also allows us to measure an accurate projected rotational velocity, with  $v\sin(i)=28\pm3$  km s<sup>-1</sup>. Combined with a published luminosity for  $\epsilon$  Ind Ba (with  $\log(L/L_{\odot})=-4.67$ ), the derived parameters result in a “spectroscopic” mass estimate of  $\sim 32M_{\text{Jupiter}}$ , a radius of  $\sim 0.07R_{\odot}$ , and a maximum rotational period of  $\sim 3.0$  hours. A compilation and comparison of effective temperatures derived from spectroscopy using model atmospheres versus those derived from luminosities and theoretical  $M_{\text{bol}}-\text{radius}$  relations reveals a systematic disagreement in the  $T_{\text{eff}}$  scale. The source of this disagreement is unknown.

*Subject headings:* infrared: stars—stars: brown dwarfs, fundamental parameters, individual ( $\epsilon$  Ind B)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The recently discovered nearby T-dwarf companion to  $\epsilon$  Indi (Scholz et al. 2003) will be important in improving our understanding of the behavior of the brown dwarfs that fall within the newly defined L and T spectral types (e.g., Kirkpatrick et al. 1999; Geballe et al. 2002). The T-dwarf reported by Scholz et al. (2003) was discovered by Volk et al. (2003) to be a close optical double consisting of an early T dwarf ( $\epsilon$  Indi B) and a late T dwarf ( $\epsilon$  Indi C) separated by 0.6 arcseconds. With an accurately known distance of  $3.626\pm0.009$  pc,  $\epsilon$  Indi Ba and Bb are the nearest known brown dwarfs. They share a common proper motion with the K5V star  $\epsilon$  Indi, lying at a projected distance of  $\sim 1460$  AU from their presumed primary star. The age of  $\epsilon$  Indi

itself has been estimated by Lachaume et al. (1999) to be  $\sim$ 0.8 to 2.0 Gyr: this age estimate is based upon its rotational velocity and Ca II K-line emission.  $\epsilon$  Indi Ba and Bb are thus brown dwarfs with very well-defined luminosities and approximate ages.

We present the first high-resolution infrared spectroscopic observations of  $\epsilon$  Indi B. Synthetic spectra computed from unified cloudy models by Tsuji (2002) are compared to the observed high-resolution spectra; these comparisons are used to derive the stellar parameters of effective temperature ( $T_{\text{eff}}$ ), surface gravity (defined as  $\log g$ ), and projected rotational velocity ( $v\sin(i)$ ).

## 2. OBSERVATIONS

High-resolution infrared (IR) spectra were obtained on  $\epsilon$  Indi Ba using the 8.1 m Gemini South reflecting telescope and the NOAO Phoenix spectrometer (Hinkle et al. 1998). This instrument is a cryogenically cooled echelle spectrograph that uses order separating filters to isolate individual echelle orders. The detector is a 1024x1024 InSb Aladdin II array. The size of the detector in the dispersion direction limits the wavelength coverage in a single exposure to about 0.5 % (1550 km s $^{-1}$  or  $\sim$ 0.0120  $\mu\text{m}$  at 2.3  $\mu\text{m}$  and  $\sim$ 0.0080  $\mu\text{m}$  at 1.6  $\mu\text{m}$ ). One edge of the detector is blemished so the wavelength coverage on all but the brightest source is typically trimmed a few percent to avoid this area. The spectra discussed here were observed with the widest (0.35 arcsecond) slit resulting in a spectral resolution of  $R=\lambda/\Delta\lambda= 50,000$ . Two spectral regions were observed, with one centered at  $\lambda=2.314\mu\text{m}$  and the other centered at 1.555  $\mu\text{m}$ . These spectral regions sample crucial diagnostic lines from the molecules CO, H<sub>2</sub>O, and CH<sub>4</sub>.

At the time of our observations we were unaware of the existence of  $\epsilon$  Indi Bb. Following the discovery (Volk et al. 2003) we re-examined our acquisition images. Acquisition images of  $\epsilon$  Indi B at 1.647  $\mu\text{m}$  taken on 2003 August 13 under good conditions (0.4" FWHM delivered image quality (DIQ)) confirm the Volk et al. (2003) detection. The companion can also be seen in 1.558  $\mu\text{m}$  images on 2002 December 29, although it is less well-resolved due to inferior seeing (0.8" arcsec DIQ). It is, however, barely perceptible at 2.321  $\mu\text{m}$  (2003 January 16) with 0.4" DIQ. The issue relevant to the current investigation is the extent to which the spectrum of  $\epsilon$  Indi B might suffer contamination from a nearby companion. The observed image profile at 1.647  $\mu\text{m}$  is well fit by a model in which the companion is fainter by 1.9 mag and is 0.65" away at a position angle of 125 degrees. At 2.321  $\mu\text{m}$  the magnitude difference is  $> 3$  between the two stars, so that the companion could contribute no more than 6% to the spectrum in this region. Our data prevent an estimate at 1.558  $\mu\text{m}$  but Volk et al. (2003) report a difference of 1.3 magnitudes. In addition the position angle is at 35 degrees to the 0.35 arcsecond slit. Detailed examination of the spectral images showed no trace of  $\epsilon$  Indi Bb at the location expected so we are confident that our spectra are contributed almost entirely by  $\epsilon$  Indi Ba.

Each program star was observed along the slit at two or three separate positions separated by 4" to 5" on the sky: the delivered image FWHM at the spectrograph varied from 0.25"-0.80"

during the nights that spectra were taken, so stellar images at different positions on the slit were well separated on the detector. Equal integration times were used for a particular program star during a particular set of observations. With this observing strategy, sky and dark backgrounds are removed by subtracting one integration from another (the star being at different positions on the detector array). During each night, 10 flat-field and 10 dark images were recorded for each given wavelength setting of the echelle. A hot star, with no intrinsic spectral lines in the regions observed, was also observed each night in each observed wavelength region.

Two-dimensional images were reduced to one-dimensional spectra using an optimal extraction algorithm described in Johns-Krull, Valenti, & Koresco (1999). Wavelengths and telluric corrections in the  $2.31\mu\text{m}$  region were determined by fitting observed telluric features with a scaled atmospheric transmission function from Wallace & Hinkle (2001). There are no significant telluric features in the  $1.56\mu\text{m}$  region, so the wavelength scale was determined by matching a spectrum of HR 1629 (K4 III) with an IR FTS atlas of Arcturus (Hinkle, Wallace, & Livingston 1995)

Figure 1 illustrates the combined and reduced spectra for  $\epsilon$  Ind Ba in both the  $2.313\mu\text{m}$  and  $1.556\mu\text{m}$  regions, with the wavelengths plotted as air wavelengths. The data points have been smoothed over the slit width of 4-pixels and the final signal-to-noise ratio is about 30–40. The  $2.31\mu\text{m}$  region contains strong vibration-rotation lines from the first overtone bands of CO (here (2–0) lines from  $^{12}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}$ ), as well as some weak, blended  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  features, and weak absorption from methane. Detectable spectral features at  $1.55\mu\text{m}$  are not as strong as at  $2.31\mu\text{m}$ , nor as well-defined as the individual vibration-rotation CO lines, and consist mostly of blended  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  features. Two of the stronger features can be assigned to mainly two  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  lines (as marked in Figure 1) on the basis of the study of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  by Tereszchuk et al. (2002).

### 3. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The observed spectra of  $\epsilon$  Ind Ba at  $2.313\mu\text{m}$  and  $1.556\mu\text{m}$  are compared to synthetic spectra calculated from model atmospheres as discussed by Tsuji (2002). These models are so-called “unified cloudy models” in which dust is allowed to exist in the photosphere over a limited range defined by a condensation temperature,  $T_{\text{cond}}$ , and a critical temperature,  $T_{\text{cr}}$ , such that dust is found in the region of  $T_{\text{cr}} \leq T \leq T_{\text{cond}}$ . At the critical temperature, dust grains become so large that they precipitate from the the photosphere. The models employed in this analysis are computed with plane parallel geometry, in hydrostatic equilibrium, and have solar abundances.

Figure 2 illustrates a comparison of observed and synthetic spectra, for both the  $2.313\mu\text{m}$  (top panel) and  $1.556\mu\text{m}$  (bottom panel) regions. The  $2.313\mu\text{m}$  spectrum contains strong  $^{12}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}$  (2–0) lines and some weak  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  features. The  $1.556\mu\text{m}$  spectrum exhibits primarily  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  absorption, with these features composed of many blended individual spectral lines. In the top panel, the comparison synthetic spectra span effective temperatures from 1400K to 1800K and

these models have surface gravities of  $\log g = 5.5$  (in units of  $\text{cm s}^{-2}$ ). This particular spectral region is illustrated as any  $\text{CH}_4$  absorption beginning near  $\lambda \sim 2.3158\mu\text{m}$ , and clearly apparent in the models with  $T_{\text{eff}}=1400\text{K}$  or  $1500\text{K}$ , is very temperature sensitive. Its observed absence (or extreme weakness) in  $\epsilon$  Ind Ba indicates that  $T_{\text{eff}}=1600\text{K}$ ; higher effective temperatures begin to produce CO lines that are too weak. The CO lines that dominate this region are not very sensitive to gravity over the expected range for the brown dwarfs with these approximate temperatures; however, the  $1.556\mu\text{m}$  region features dominated by  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  are more sensitive to surface gravity, as shown in the bottom panel of Figure 2. Here, a slightly lower effective temperature is derived, with  $T_{\text{eff}}=1400\text{K}$ , and the gravity sensitive  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  features indicate that  $\log g \sim 5.0$  to  $5.5$ . Higher effective temperatures produce  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  absorption features that are too weak for any reasonable surface gravity, while temperatures much lower than  $T_{\text{eff}}=1400\text{K}$  (say  $1300\text{K}$ ) produce increasingly strong  $\text{CH}_4$  absorption, which looks nothing like the observed spectrum of  $\epsilon$  Ind B. This effect is shown by the  $T_{\text{eff}}=1300\text{K}$  model spectrum in the bottom panel of Figure 2 that is offset vertically from the observed spectra and  $1400\text{K}$  models. The offset is done as the different absorption features in the  $1300\text{K}$  model (that are caused by increasing  $\text{CH}_4$  and decreasing  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  absorption), if overlaid on the observed spectrum, would produce merely confusion.

Fits to the line profiles (primarily the strong CO lines), as shown in Figure 2, also yield the projected rotational velocity, with  $v\sin(\iota)=28 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (with an uncertainty of  $\pm 3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ). Wavelength shifts between observed and synthetic spectra also provide an accurate radial velocity for  $\epsilon$  Ind B, which we find to be  $V_{\text{heliocentric}} = -41.0 \pm 0.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . This radial velocity is very close to the published value for  $\epsilon$  Ind A's velocity of  $-39.6 \pm 0.8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  from Wielen et al. (1999). The similarity of radial velocities for both  $\epsilon$  Ind A and Ba strengthens their physical association, as argued by Scholz et al. (2003) based on their respective distances and proper motions.

The combination of both the  $2.314\mu\text{m}$  and  $1.555\mu\text{m}$  high-resolution spectra and their comparison to synthetic spectra result in values for temperature and gravity in  $\epsilon$  Ind Ba to be  $T_{\text{eff}}=1400\text{--}1600\text{K}$  and  $\log g=5.0\text{--}5.5$ . Taking the average of these values as being the best estimates we find an effective temperature of  $1500\text{K}$  and a gravity of  $\log g=5.25$ . Scholz et al. (2003) used photometry to derive the luminosity of  $\epsilon$  Ind Ba and found it to be  $\log(L/L_{\odot})=-4.67$ . This luminosity can be combined with our estimates of temperature and gravity to yield a spectroscopic mass estimate of  $32M_{\text{Jupiter}}$ . Scholz et al. (2003) derive a mass of  $40\text{--}60M_{\text{Jupiter}}$ , which is close to the mass derived from the high-resolution spectra. In addition, given the luminosity and effective temperature, the radius of  $\epsilon$  Ind Ba can be estimated and then combined with the projected rotational velocity to yield a maximum rotational period. With  $\log(L/L_{\odot})=-4.67$  and  $T_{\text{eff}}=1500\text{K}$ , a radius of  $(R/R_{\odot})=0.069$  is derived. Given  $v\sin(\iota)=28 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , then the maximum rotational period for  $\epsilon$  Ind Ba will be 3.0 hours.

The effective temperature derived by Scholz et al. (2003) is  $1260\text{K}$  and results from the luminosity combined with the distance and a radius defined by a theoretical  $M_{\text{bol}}\text{--radius}$  relation that results from structural models of brown dwarfs. This  $T_{\text{eff}}$  is somewhat lower than our value of  $1500\text{K}$ , however this difference is typical of the differences found to date between spectroscopically

derived effective temperatures and those derived from structural models. The differences in  $T_{\text{eff}}$  also indicate differences in the implied radii from the two methods. In our case, the higher spectroscopic  $T_{\text{eff}}$  for  $\epsilon$  Ind Ba requires a smaller radius to support its luminosity. The two techniques are complementary, in the sense that the radius does not enter into the computation of the plane parallel atmosphere, but does in the  $M_{\text{bol}}\text{--radius}$  relation. Age uncertainties can also affect derived physical parameters, especially for the structural models. Larger sets of comparison measurements of physical parameters derived from both model atmospheres and structural models will improve our understanding of the root cause of these differences. A comparison of the temperature scales is illustrated in Figure 3, where spectroscopic  $T_{\text{eff}}$ 's are plotted versus structural  $T_{\text{eff}}$ 's; the spectroscopic temperatures are taken from Basri et al. (2000), Leggett et al. (2001), and Schweitzer et al. (2002), while the structural temperatures are those from Dahn et al. (2002). The Dahn et al.  $T_{\text{eff}}$ 's also use a  $M_{\text{bol}}\text{--radius}$  relation from structural models in deriving temperatures. Despite using different sets of model atmospheres (with differing treatments of dust), there is a clear trend in the differences between the spectroscopically derived effective temperatures when compared to the structural temperatures from Dahn et al. (2002). At higher temperatures, the spectroscopic  $T_{\text{eff}}$ 's tend to fall below the structural  $T_{\text{eff}}$ 's, with the reverse situation at lower temperatures and a crossover point at  $\sim 1900\text{--}2000\text{K}$ . Our derived  $T_{\text{eff}}$  for  $\epsilon$  Ind B falls nicely on the cool end of this trend. We do not speculate here on the reasons for the systematic differences between structural and spectroscopic effective temperatures.

The treatment of dust in the photosphere is a crucial ingredient in the quantitative spectral modelling of the cool L and T dwarfs. Here we have used the unified dust models as discussed in detail by Tsuji (2002); however, we also investigated other dust treatments to see what effects these would have on the derived physical parameters (primarily  $T_{\text{eff}}$ ). Two other sets of model atmospheres were generated: 1) one in which dust remained in all layers where the thermochemical conditions allowed dust condensation (case B), and 2) the other being the case where all dust sank out of the photospheric layers (case C). These very same effects were also investigated and discussed in detail by Basri et al. (2000) for a sample of late-M and L dwarfs. In our case B, where dust exists over a wide range of depths in the photosphere, the model absorption lines ( $\text{CO}$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , and  $\text{CH}_4$ ) are all much weaker than the observed absorption lines (the addition of significant dust opacity over a large region of the photosphere weakens the gas phase absorption lines), and no realistic fit to the observed spectra is possible for any reasonable  $T_{\text{eff}}$ . For the atmospheres where all dust sinks from the photosphere (case C), the model molecular absorption lines have more realistic strengths, but the temperature sensitive  $\text{CH}_4$  appears at even higher effective temperatures; we derive  $T_{\text{eff}}=1800\text{K}$  for  $\epsilon$  Ind Ba. The disagreement with the structural models is even worse here. This exercise suggests that some dust in the photosphere provides a better physical picture in modelling the high-resolution IR-spectra of  $\epsilon$  Ind Ba. Our initial comparison here points to the need for more high-resolution spectral analyses across the temperature range of the L and T dwarfs.

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

We have used high-resolution infrared spectra of the nearest brown dwarf,  $\epsilon$  Ind B, to derive its physical parameters using comparisons to synthetic spectra calculated from model atmospheres. The spectroscopic  $T_{\text{eff}}=1500\text{K}$ , with  $\log g=5.2$ , and an estimated mass of  $M=32M_{\text{Jupiter}}$ . The projected rotational velocity is  $v\sin(i)=28 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , indicating a maximum rotational period of  $\sim 3.0$  hours. The comparison between the  $T_{\text{eff}}$  scales derived from spectroscopic plus model atmosphere analyses against those derived from  $M_{\text{bol}}-\text{radius}$  relations reveals a significant systematic difference that is unexplained.

The staff of the Gemini South Observatory are to be thanked for technical support. We also thank J. Tennyson for his comments on the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  assignments, and the referee (G. Basri) for helpful suggestions in improving this paper. This research had made use of the SIMBAD database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France. The work reported here is supported in part by the National Science Foundation through AST99-87374 (V.V.S.) and NASA through NAG5-9213 (V.V.S.).

## REFERENCES

- Basri, G., Mohanty, S., Allard, F., Hauschildt, P. H., Delfosse, X., Martin, E. L., Forveille, T., & Goldman, B. 2000, ApJ, 538, 363
- Dahn, C. C., Harris, H. C., Vrba, F. J., Guetter, H. H., Blaise, C., Henden, A. A., Levine, S. E., Luginbuhl, C. B., Monet, A. K. B., Monet, D. G., Pier, J. R., Stone, R. C., Walker, R. L., Burgasser, A. J., Gizis, J. E., Kirkpatrick, J. D., Liebert, J., Reid, I. N. 2002, AJ, 124, 1170
- Geballe, T. R., Knapp, G. R., Leggett, S. K., Fan, X., Golimowski, D. A., Anderson, S., Brinkman, J., Csabai, I., Gunn, J. E., Hawley, S. L., Hennessy, G., Henry, T. J., Hill, G. J., Hindsley, R. B., Ivezić, Z., Lupton, R. H., McDaniel, A., Munn, J. A., Narayanan, V. K., Peng, E., Pier, J. R., Rockosi, C. M., Schneider, D. P., Smith, J. A., Strauss, M. A., Tsvetanov, Z. I., Uomoto, A., York, D. G., Zheng, W. 2002, ApJ, 564, 466
- Hinkle K., Wallace, L., & Livingston, W. 1995, PASP, 107, 1042
- Hinkle, K. H., Cuberly, R., Gaughan, N., Heynssens, J., Joyce, R., Ridgway, S., Schmitt, P., & Simmons, J. E. 1998, Proc.SPIE, 3354, 810
- Johns-Krull, C. M., Valenti, J. A., & Koresco, C. 1999, ApJ, 516, 900
- Kirkpatrick, J. D., Reid, I. N., Liebert, J., Cutri, R. M., Nelson, B., Beichman, C. A., Dahn, C. C., Monet, D. G., Gizis, J. E., & Skrutskie, M. F. 1999, ApJ, 519, 802
- Lachaume, R., Dominik, C., Lanz, T., & Habing, H. J. 1999, A&A, 348, 897
- Leggett, S. K., Allard, F., Geballe, T. R., Hauschildt, P. H., & Schweitzer, A. 2001, ApJ, 548, 908
- Scholz, R. D., McCaughrean, M. J., Lodieu, N., & Kuhlbrot, B. 2003, A& A, 398, L29.
- Schweitzer, A., Gizis, J. E., Hauschildt, P. H., Allard, F., Howard, E. M., & Kirkpatrick, J. D. 2002, ApJ, 566, 435
- Tereszchuk, K., Bernath, P. F., Zobov, N. I., Shirin, S. V., Polyansky, O. L., Libeskind, N., Tennyson, J., & Wallace, L. 2002, ApJ, 577, 496
- Tsuji, T. 2002, ApJ, 575, 264
- Volk, K., Blum, R., Walker, G., & Puxley, P. 2003, IAUC 8188
- Wallace, L., & Hinkle, K. 2001, NSO Technical Report #01-001, Sunspot Umbral Spectra in the Region 4000 to 8640 cm<sup>-1</sup> (1.16 to 2.50 μm), (Tucson:NSO)
- Wielen, R., Schwan, H., Dettbarn, C., Lenhardt, H., Jahreiss, H., & Jahrling, R. 1999, Veroeff. Astron. Rechen-Inst. Heidelberg, 35, 1

Fig. 1.— The  $\lambda 2.313\mu\text{m}$  (top panel) and  $\lambda 1.556\mu\text{m}$  (bottom panel) spectra of  $\epsilon$  Indi B, with the plotted wavelengths being those in air. The  $2.31\mu\text{m}$  region is dominated by strong  $^{12}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}(2-0)$  lines that are rotationally broadened. The  $\text{CH}_4$  absorption at  $\lambda 2.318\mu\text{m}$  is either absent or very weak at this high spectral resolution. The  $1.55\mu\text{m}$  region exhibits blended features from  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ .

Fig. 2.— A comparison of the observed spectra of  $\epsilon$  Ind Ba with synthetic spectra that span a range of effective temperatures and gravities. In the top panel the  $\lambda 2.314\mu\text{m}$  region is shown, with the strong  $^{12}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}$  lines, as indicated in Figure 1. In order to fit the observed line shapes the model spectra must be broadened by a rotational profile with  $v_{\text{rot}}=28 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . This spectral region is illustrated because of the large temperature sensitivity of the  $\text{CH}_4$  absorption (as well as the CO). A good fit is obtained for  $T_{\text{eff}}=1600\text{K}$ . These particular lines are not very sensitive to gravity over the expected values, so a single-gravity set of models is shown (with  $\log g= 5.5$ ). The bottom panel shows the observed and model spectra comparisons for a single  $T_{\text{eff}}= 1400\text{K}$  but a range of gravities. Most of the blended spectral features visible in this wavelength region are from  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , and the relative depth of the absorption is sensitive to gravity, as illustrated. Surface gravities in the range of  $\log g= 5.0$  to  $5.5$  are the overall best fits. Note that higher effective temperatures will produce extremely weak  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  absorption, while lower  $T_{\text{eff}}$ 's result in increasingly dominant  $\text{CH}_4$  absorption, which is not observed. The vertically shifted model spectrum is for  $T_{\text{eff}}=1300\text{K}$  and illustrates the increasingly different absorption as the temperature decreases (note the strong feature at  $1.5564\mu\text{m}$  from  $\text{CH}_4$ ).

Fig. 3.— A comparison of effective temperatures derived from model atmosphere spectrum synthesis techniques to temperatures derived from structural models for L and T dwarfs: the structural  $T_{\text{eff}}$ 's are taken from Dahn et al. (2002), while the spectroscopic temperatures are from Basri et al. (2000), Leggett et al. (2001), and Schweitzer et al. (2002). There is a well-defined systematic trend in the differences between spectroscopic and structural effective temperatures, with our result for  $\epsilon$  Ind Ba falling at the cool end of this trend.





